

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

The presentation(s)/poster(s) in this publication comprise the proceedings of the 2019 TestConX workshop. The content reflects the opinion of the authors and their respective companies. They are reproduced here as they were presented at the 2019 TestConX workshop. This version of the presentation or poster may differ from the version that was distributed in hardcopy & softcopy form at the 2019 TestConX workshop. The inclusion of the presentations/posters in this publication does not constitute an endorsement by TestConX or the workshop's sponsors.

There is NO copyright protection claimed on the presentation/poster content by TestConX. However, each presentation/poster is the work of the authors and their respective companies: as such, it is strongly encouraged that any use reflect proper acknowledgement to the appropriate source. Any questions regarding the use of any materials presented should be directed to the author(s) or their companies.

"TestConX" and the TestConX logo are trademarks of TestConX. All rights reserved.

www.testconx.org

Measurement Challenges for Over the Air Test of Antenna in Package ICs

Aaren Lonks Cohu Corp





Content

- Antenna in Package (AiP) history
- AiP in current applications
- Over the Air (OTA) requirement in mass production
- OTA solution advantage
- Different OTA solutions




2 (20 EX


AiP History

What is AiP Technology?

- AiP technology is an extension of Antenna on Chip (AoC) solution technology that implements an antenna or antennas on (or in) an IC package that can carry a highlyintegrated radio or radar transceiver die (or dies in the case of a multi-chip module)
- The typical gain of AiPs is two to four times higher than AoCs, due to the use of low loss and lower Dk substrates instead of CMOS-grade silicon

AiP Packaging for mmWave





Material from 3D Integration and Packaging of mmWave Circuits and Antennas: Opportunities and Challenges, Signal Integrity Journal, February 12, 2016



Measurement Challenges for Over the Air Test of Antenna in Package ICs

20 PARS PARS

Far-Field Vs Near Field basics

Different models allow prediction of the behavior of antennas as a function of the distance r from the antenna:

Near-field > Where we Test (Defined as the close-in region of an antenna where the angular field distribution is dependent upon the distance from the antenna)

3 different zones:

- a) For $r < ^{\lambda}/_{2\pi}$, reactive zone (or *inductive* region) > E, H fields random, difficult to measure, and Power density of E,H fields need also phase relationships between the two as well as the angle between the E and H vectors at every point in space
- b) For $^{\lambda}/_{2\pi} < r < 2 \frac{D^2}{\lambda} \approx \lambda$, radiative zone (or *Fresnel* region) > E, H fields all radiant energy, metal objects in this region can act as antennas and re-radiate incident fields
- c) For $2\frac{D^2}{\lambda} \approx \lambda < r < 2\lambda$, transition zone

> E, H fields become more predictable, converging into plane waves in the far field (below)

In the case **b)** and **c)** which interests us, the radiative Power density decreases in $^{1}/_{r^{5}}$



Where λ is the wavelength, = c/(frequency * $\sqrt{\varepsilon r}$) \mathbf{r} = distance from the radiating structure \mathbf{D} = largest dimension of the radiating element



Far-Field Vs Near Field basics

Far-field (Fraunhofer region): Defined by radiation patterns which do not change shape with distance, and E- and H-fields are orthogonal to each other and the direction of propagation as with plane waves.

The most common model when we deal with wave propagation > real life with 5G + radar applications

Radiative Power density decreases in $\frac{1}{r^2}$

This model is only valid for $r > 2\lambda$

Where λ is the wavelength, = c/(frequency * $\sqrt{\varepsilon r}$) \mathbf{r} = distance from the radiating structure \mathbf{D} = largest dimension of the radiating element





NF-FF zones in function of the frequency

- As the NF-FF zones are directly linked to the wavelength, and so to the frequency, we are able to find the limit distances for which we are in Far or Near Field
 - These limits are given in the "distance line" below:

		NF Reactive		NF Radiative	l)	Transition		FF
Frequency [GHz]	Wavelength [mm]	R = 0	$R = \frac{\lambda}{2}$	R	= λ	_ R	= 2λ	
30	10	0	2π 1.6		10		20	
	7.5	0	1.2		7.5		15	
50	6.0	0	1.0		6.0		12	
60	5.0	0	0.8		5.0		10	
	4.3	0	0.7		4.3		8.6	
80	3.8	0	0.6		3.8		7.5	



Measurement Challenges for Over the Air Test of Antenna in Package ICs

TestConX Workshop www.testconx.org March 3-6, 2019

Far-Field Vs Near Field Conversions

- □ Since OTA contactors for high-volume production test cannot contain the necessary volume to be able to measure full far field performance of the DUT (similar to an anechoic chamber), it is desired to test in a near-field condition if possible.
- Near Field responses can be post-processed and then converted to a far-field point source measurement response (there is some discussion as to the accuracy related to each type of methodology, and the data requirements for the transformation).
 - → depending the application, you can select far field or near field measurement:
 - → If you want to measure an NFC (Near Field Communication) chip, you have to be very close to the DUT when you perform the actual measurement (In near field)
 - → If you want to measure a 5G chip with patch antennas on it (which aims to be used in a smartphone for Far Field communications) then you should perform a FF measurement (at least in the transition zone at about 7mm away from the DUT for 60GHz... even if NF to FF mathematical transformations exist but are very complex to put in place).



Measurement Challenges for Over the Air Test of Antenna in Package ICs

PEARS PEARS

Measurement Challenges

- ☐ Chipset OTA measurements on AiP or AoC require specific parametric measurements:
 - Error Vector Magnitude (EVM)
 - Packet Error Rate (PER)
 - Adjacent Channel Power (ACP)
 - Spurious Free Dynamic Range (SFDR)
 - Effective / Incident Radiated Power (ERP, EIRP)
 - > Eye Diagram Parametrics (trise / tfall / jitter / eye closure, etc.)
- ☐ Contactor Measurement Performance / Accuracy is dependent upon many variables which need to be considered in the design:
 - Temperature / Humidity
 - Phase and Amplitude Stability
 - > Signal Reflections from Contactor Surfaces (use radar absorbing material)
 - Insertion Loss and Impedance Match
 - Signal Isolation




Measurement Challenges for Over the Air Test of Antenna in Package ICs


SIDIP VEARS

OTA Platform based on Lead Frame Technology

- Core technology xWave Lead Frame, is a thin sheet of metal which allows us to design structures to meet various device interconnect requirements.
- We use lead frame to transmit signals and replace PCBs in our contactor. Complete menu of standard pogos still available for low freq signals.
- In addition to traditional structures used for contact test, the Lead Frame also acts a medium to fabricate antennas and other coupling structures
- xWave Leadframe based contactors support device pitch down to 100um and multiple Sites









28GHz xWave 5G OTA Contactor for 16 Channel AIP

- 24GHz to 30GHz broadband performance
- Dipole antenna in Lid
- Absorber lined plunger cavity
- Waveguide feed from tester through contactor into lid
- RF choke interface to lid
- 3 months from concept to delivery















20 THE TOWN THE TOWN

OTA for 5G: Dual- Band (26.5-29.5 GHz / 37-40 GHz)



- □ A production interface solution that enables OTA testing with a dual-polarized patch antenna and integrated waveguide antenna for the "end fired" DUT dipole test
- ☐ This solution integrates the OTA


 Contactor with patch and waveguide
 antennas.






What is a Waveguide RF Choke?

- With mechanical tolerance build-ups in contactor manufacturing and probe head connection to the contactor, waveguide from the MA (or tester) to the contactor body may not have full contact closure of the WG flanges:
 - Therefore, a WG RF choke allows air gap to exist while keeping E and H fields contained, and prevents signal loss via radiation
 - Flange ring depth 1/4 wavelength and essentially a resonant short circuit stub
 - High impedance in series with metal-metal connection minimizes current across it
 - Distance from WG through gap to the ditch also ¼ lambda
 - gap forms a ¼ lambda transformer transforming the high impedance at the top of the ditch to a low (ideally zero) impedance at the broad wall of the waveguide






OTA for 5G: 60 GHz Contactor with integrated Patch Antenna



- □ A production interface solution that enables OTA testing of a 60 GHz single-chip integrated Antenna in Package has been delivered to a customer.
- ☐ The solution integrates the OTA Contactor with patch antenna.








81GHz OTA Automotive Radar Testing

xWave Contactor with integrated Patch Antennas outperforms PCB patches

Uses 81GHz Kestrel tester module cabled directly to patches in contactor

Integrated with Cohu MX tester


	RX Gain Tests					
	Low Band	Mid Band	High Band			
Test Fixture	77GHz	79GHz	81GHz			
PCB Patch	-0.37	-0.87	0.96			
Contactor Patch	7.65	7.85	6.27			














Unique OTA Architecture

- Real Life Test plus more
 - Actual radiated OTA test > real life performance characterization of AiP chip
 - Leadframe incorporating Co-Planar Waveguide (CPW) replace PCB traces > lower loss
 - Waveguide transition to planar antenna > lower loss
 - Eliminate cables by using waveguide interfacing on the tester side > lower loss
 - Utilize RF waveguide chokes to provide for tester interface mechanical tolerances
- Longer life cycle
 - Lead frame life cycle is well over 1 million insertions
- Tighter tolerance
 - Precise machining process used for contactor manufacture



Conclusion

- As OTA testing for 5G AiP technology moves up to cmWave and mmWave, advanced antenna in socket designs are required to make high volume production practical
- Using unique ruggedized CPW lead frame technology along with integrated patch, dipole, or waveguide antenna in socket makes for very stable and reliable OTA test
- Antennas integrated into a contactor design should be located and optimized for varying radiation patterns and directivity specific for each unique chip design. They may need to be located on or outside the top, sides and/or bottom of the AiP chip under test.



WEARS ANNIVERS